



BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Beside Hyderabad Boat Club
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN**

TUESDAY THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JANUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY SIX

C.M.P.No 11 of 2025-26

in

Appeal No. 28 of 2025-26

Between

M/s. C.S. Bros and Co(C.P) Pvt. Ltd., # 10-3-85/4, East Marredpally,
Secunderabad-500026, represented by Sri Shridhar Rao authorised person
Ph: No: 9391029189.

.....Petitioner/Appellant

AND

1. The Assistant Engineer/Op/Saifabad /TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/Op/Saifabad/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
3. The Assistant Accounts Officer/ERO/Mint Compound/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
4. The Divisional Engineer/Op/Saifabad/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
5. The Superintending Engineer/Op/Hyd. Central/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
6. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/DPE-I/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
7. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/HT & CT Meters/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.

..... Respondents/Respondents

This petition is coming on before me for final hearing on this day in the presence of Sri Shridhar Rao - authorised representative of the petitioner/appellant and Sri K. Durga Srinivas-ADE/OP/Saifabad and Smt. P. Sunitha - AAO/ERO/Mint Compound and having stood over for consideration till

this day, this Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following:-

ORDER

This petition is registered suo-motu aggrieved by non - implementation of the Award passed in Appeal No. 28 of 2025-26 dt.20.11.2025 of this Authority, by the Licensee-respondents.

2. This Authority while allowing the appeal, at para No. 24 of the said Award has passed the following order:-

“In the result the appeal is allowed in part by setting aside the impugned Award and the assessment of revenue loss contributing to the random nature of intermittent R-Phase voltage missing is revised to Rs.17,862/- (Rupees seventeen thousand eight hundred and sixty two only) instead of (137) days..”

3. Respondent No.3 has filed a report stating that the Award passed by this authority is complied with.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The point for consideration is, whether there are sufficient grounds to close the petition?

POINT

6. As seen from the record, respondent 3 has filed a compliance report stating that the Award has been implemented. In view of the compliance of the Award, I hold that there are sufficient grounds to close the petition.


RESULT

7. In the result, the petition is closed.

A copy of this Order is made available at <https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in>.

Typed to my dictation by Office Executive cum Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on the 20th day of January 2026.

Vidyut Ombudsman

- 
1. M/s. C.S. Bros and Co(C.P) Pvt. Ltd., # 10-3-85/4, East Marredpally, Secunderabad-500026, represented by Sri Shridhar Rao(Authorised Person) Ph: No: 9391029189
 2. The Assistant Engineer/Op/Saifabad /TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
 3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/Op/Saifabad/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
 4. The Assistant Accounts Officer/ERO/Mint Compound/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
 5. The Divisional Engineer/Op/Saifabad/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
 6. The Superintending Engineer/Op/Hyd. Central/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
 7. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/DPE-I/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.
 8. The Assistant Divisional Engineer/HT & CT Meters/TGSPDCL/Hyd. Central Circle.

