

Record of proceedings dt. 18.11.2020

Appeal No. 5/2020: MP No. 5/2020: Sri.N.Ravi Babu Vs. TSSPDCL

Heard the applicant and the officers of the opposite party, the grievance is with regard to non-implementation of the order of this authority, earlier interim order has been passed for restoring power supply. However in today's hearing the representatives of the opposite party have stated that they have filed a writ petition in the Hon'ble High Court in WP no 18982 of 2020 against the order of CGRF in CG no 578 of 2019 -20 of TSSPDCL-I. It is noticed that there is no stay granted to the opposite parties by the honorable high court. In the circumstance the parties have been directed to place the status report regarding the payments and the power supply position. The appellant is required to pay their current consumption bills including the arrears. Any other issues cannot be considered in this appeal. The applicant is required to inform as to what is the amount the appellant is willing to pay for continuations of supply then only further decision can be taken on the application.

Sd/-

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
FAC**

Appeal No. 10 of 2020: M/s.Oyster Medisafe Pvt. Ltd., Vs TSSPDCL

As recorded earlier the matter has been reopened and the counsel for the appellant as well as officer of the respondents were heard, a fresh detailed submission be made by the parties additional documents if any is required to be filed is allowed with the copy to the other side. Matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
FAC**

Appeal No. 15 of 2020-21: Sri. M. Srdihar Reddy Vs TSNPDCL

As recorded earlier the appellant is exempted from appearance however he has sent submission in the matter which are taken on record heard, the representative of the opposite party, ascertained the amounts paid by the appellant. The matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
FAC**

Appeal No. 16 of 2020-21: Sri. K. Laxman Vs TSSPDCL

Heard the appellant and the officer of the opposite party. The opposite party shall furnish all the details regarding providing of power supply to the appellant towards agriculture connection with intimation to the appellant. The appellant raised another issue of not providing supply to the room constructed by the appellant and that recommendation of the government is being insisted. This authority suggested that it is a different course of action and if the appellant is facing difficulty in recommendation from the government, then he should approach the welfare board of military personnel for taking up his skills with the government. As such the matter is reserved for orders.

Sd/-

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
FAC**

Appeal No 18/2020: M/s. Vanaja Electrical Appliances Pvt. Ltd., Vs TSSPDCL

Heard representatives of the parties, the opposite parties have agreed to file their counter statement and both have agreed for the written submission in the matter, the matter is adjourned next date of hearing will be intimated separately.

Sd/-

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
FAC**

Appeal No. 19 of 2020: Mr. Shaik Shabbir Vs TSSPDCL

Initially the appellant at the time of hearing was not present, the subsequently presented himself before the office. Heard the submission of the officer of the opposite party. The opposite party filed submission in the matter since the appellant is not present at the time of hearing the appeal is adjourned and the next date will be intimated to due course.

Sd/-

**VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN
FAC**