

BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN

Present

NAGARAJ NARAM
Vidyut Ombudsman

Dated: 11 -06-2013

Appeal No. 94 of 2012

Between

Sri. G.Naga Mohan
Baby Hospital
Sainagar, Anantapur Dist.

... Appellant

And

1. Addl.Assistant Engineer / Distribution-VI/ APCPDCL/ Anantapur/ Anantapur Dist
2. Asst. Divisional Engineer / Operation / APCPDCL / Anantapur Town-II/ Anantapur Dist
3. Divisional Engineer / Operation / APCPDCL / Anantapur / Anantapur Dist
- 4.. Superintending Engineer / Operation / APCPDCL / Anantapur Circle/ Anantapur Dist

.....Respondents

The appeal / representation received on 24.11.2012 of the appellant has come up for final hearing on 10.06.2013. Sri G.Naga Mohan, appellant is absent and Sri Khaja Babu Shaik, AE/Dist-VI/Anantapur for respondents is present and the appeal having stood over for consideration till this day, the Vidyut Ombudsman passed / issued the following :

AWARD

The appellant has filed the present appeal questioning the order of CGRF of APCPDCL in CG No.ATP-233 / Anantapur circle dt.17.10.2012 granting relief partly in his favour without awarding compensation, if any.

2. The main grievance of the complainant is that the APCPDCL had erected the distribution transformer in his premises and providing supply to

neighbouring industries. In spite of his request to shift the same and that there will be fire hazard and there were fire accidents, the transformer located in his premises has not been shifted to safer area outside his premises.

3. Having taken the complaint on record and after obtaining a reply from the AE / operations/Distribution-VI, the learned CGRF directed the DE/Operations/Anantapur to inspect and arrange for shifting of the DTR to suitable place in consultation with the consumers and Municipal authorities. The order was to be implemented within 15 days.

4. The complainant filed the present appeal alleging non-compliance of the said directions within the stipulated time. The undersigned required the complainant to file the correct CGRF order which was complied with by the complainant.

5. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing in the appeal on 25.06.2013 scheduling the hearing on 10.06.2013. Thereafter, the appellant addressed a letter stating that the concerned officers complied with the directions of the CGRF, therefore, he wishes to withdraw the appeal filed by him.

6. On the date of hearing, AE/Distribution-VI, Sri Khaja Babu Shaik on behalf of the respondents filed a report by attending the hearing. It is stated therein that the orders of CGRF have been complied with upon mutual compromise made with the appellant.

7. In view of the above position, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.

This order is corrected and signed on this day of 11th June, 2013

Sd/-
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN