VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN TELANGANA STATE

Present: R. Damodar

Date: 27-02-2015

Appeal No. VO-03 of 2015

Between

Sri. Rakesh Agarwal, M/s. P.R.Electricals, P.No. 96, Suvvey No. 196,197 & 198, IDPL, Hyderabad

.... Appellant

AND

- 1. The ADE/Operation/Balanagar, TSSPDCL, Hyderabad
- 2. The AE/Operation/IDPL, TSSPDCL, Hyderabad

.... Respondents

The above appeal filed on 26-02-2015 has come up for hearing before the Vidyut Ombudsman, Telangana State on 27-02-2015 at Hyderabad in the presence of Sri. Rakesh Agarwal for the Appellant and Sri. K. Sai Prasad, ADE/Operation/Balangar, Hyderabad and Sri. B. Prasad, AE/Operation/IDPL, Hyderabad for the Respondents were present. Having considered the submissions of the parties concerned, the Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following:

AWARD

2. The Appellant, is seeking Interim orders in lieu of urgency involved in the matter. The Appellant clarified that the power was disconnected to his firm on 24-02-2015 without any notice and pendency of the main appeal before the Vidyut Ombudsman (with the Vidyut Ombudsman for Andhra Pradesh) which is being for now.

- 3. The main petition is awaited.
- 4. The Appellant pleads that out of the total amount claimed as due to the Respondents to his Service Connection No. S6 005332 (LT) as Rs.5,69,448/- (Rupees Five Lakhs Sixty Nine Thousand Four Hundred and Forty Eight only). The Appellant claimed that he paid an amount of Rs.1,30,895/- (Rupees One Lakh Thirty Thousand Eight Hundred and Ninety Five only), Rs.64,536/- (Rupees Sixty Four Thousand Five hundred and Thirty Six only) and Rs.225/- (Rupees Two Hundred and Twenty Five only) which are totalling to Rs.1,95,656/- (Rupees One Lakh Ninety Five Thousand Six Hundred and Fifty Six only). To that effect the Appellant filed copies of receipts issued by TSSPDCL, Hyderabad.
- 5. The ADE/Operation/Balanagar represented that, the Appellant while being Low Tension consumer has been using power beyond the approved capacity and instead of converting to HT connection, he has been causing loss to the Distribution Company. This is the very issue involved in the Appeal, which can be decided soon after receipt of the file from the Vidyut Ombudsman of Andhra Pradesh State (erstwhile VO of AP & Telangana).
- 6. The Appellant claiming that because of disconnection of power for the firm/industry, the Appellant is on the limbo and his staff are idle. Keeping the view of the representation and also the response of the Respondent No.1 and that is also facility of payment in instalment, the facts of the case and urgency there shall be an interim direction to the Respondents to restore power to Service Connection No. S6 005332 (LT), immediately on payment of Rs.2,25,000/- (Rupees Two Lakhs Twenty Five Thousand only) to the credit of the Distribution Company i.e., TSSPDCL, Hyderabad pending disposal of main appeal.
- 7. This order is corrected and signed on this 27th day of February 2015.

Sd/-

VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN

To

- 1. Sri. Rakesh Agarwal, M/s. P.R.Electricals, P.No. 96, Suvvey No. 196,197 & 198, IDPL, Hyderabad - 500 037
- 2. The ADE/Operation/Balanagar, TSSPDCL, Hyderabad
- 3. The AE/Operation/IDPL, TSSPDCL, Hyderabad

Copy to

4. The Chairperson,

Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum -2 (Rural), Hyderabad - 500045.

5. The Secretary, TSERC, Hyderabad