



**BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Beside Hyderabad Boat Club  
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN  
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN**

FRIDAY THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

**C.M.P. No. 25 of 2023-24**

**in**

**Appeal No. 10 of 2023-24**

Between

Sri Eshan Kumar Agarwal, s/o. Late Suresh Kumar Agarwal, [H.No.6-4-36/A/11](#),  
Bholakpur, Secunderabad - 500 080. Cell: 9505507402 and 7036205211.

**.....Petitioner / Appellant**

**AND**

1. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Habsiguda / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
2. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Habsiguda / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
3. The Senior Accounts Officer / Operation / Habsiguda Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
4. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Habsiguda Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
5. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Padma Rao Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
6. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Paradise / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

**..... Respondents / Respondents**

This petition is coming on before me for final hearing today in the presence of Sri Ravinder Prasad Srivatsava - authorised representative of the petitioner and Sri K. Hanuma - SAO/OP/Habsiguda Circle for the respondents, and having stood over for consideration till today, this Vidhyut Ombudsman passed the following:

## **ORDER**

This petition is registered suo-motu as per Clause 3.42 of Regulation 3 of 2015 of Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission for compliance of the Award passed in Appeal No. 10 of 2023-24 dt.04.07.2023 of this Authority, by the Licensee-respondents.

2. This Authority while allowing the appeal, at Para No. 14 of the said Award has passed the following order:-

“In view of these factors, I hold that the impugned notice is liable to be set-aside and the Award of the learned Forum is also liable to be set-aside. Since the impugned notice itself is liable to be set-aside the question of disconnecting the Service Connection of the appellant and also considering the provisions of Sec. 56 (2) of the Electricity Act does not arise. These points are accordingly decided in favour of the appellant and against the respondents.”

3. Respondent No.4 has filed a compliance report stating that the Licensee-respondents are not going to disconnect the subject Service Connection.

4. Heard both sides.

5. The point for consideration is, whether there are sufficient grounds to close the petition?

### **POINT**

6. Respondent No.4 has filed a compliance report stating that as per the directions of this Authority the department is not going to disconnect the subject Service Connections. In view of the compliance of the Award, this petition is

liable to be closed.

## **RESULT**

7. In the result, the petition is closed.

A copy of this Award is made available at <https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in>.

Typed to my dictation by Office Executive-cum-Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on this the 18th day of August 2023.

Sd/-

**Vidyut Ombudsman**

1. Sri Eshan Kumar Agarwal, s/o. Late Suresh Kumar Agarwal, [H.No.6-4-36/A/11](#), Bholakpur, Secunderabad - 500 080. Cell: 9505507402 and 7036205211.
2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Habsiguda / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
3. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Habsiguda / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
4. The Senior Accounts Officer / Operation / Habsiguda Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
5. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Habsiguda Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
6. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Padma Rao Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
7. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Paradise / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.