



BEFORE THE VIDUYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Beside Hyderabad Boat Club
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN
VIDUYUT OMBUDSMAN**

TUESDAY THE TWELFTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

C.M.P. No. 18 of 2023-24

in

Appeal No. 35 of 2021-22

Between

M/s. Habib Air Compressor, represented by Sri P. Ragupathy, Plot No.41,
Sy. No. 53, 55-60/P, IDA Kattedan, M.D.Pally, RR District. Cell: 9533318969 &
7036205211.

.....Petitioner / Appellant

AND

1. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / M.D.Pally / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
3. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
4. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

..... Respondents / Respondents

This petition is coming on before me for final hearing today in the presence of Mr. Ravinder Prasad Srivatsava - authorised representative of the petitioner and having stood over for consideration till today, this Viduyut Ombudsman passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is registered suo-motu as per Clause 3.42 of Regulation 3 of 2015 of Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission for compliance of the Award passed in Appeal No. 35 of 2021-22 dt.07.11.2022 of this Authority, by the Licensee-respondents.

2. This Authority in the said Award has passed the following order:-

“In the result, the appeal is allowed in part. The respondents are directed to revise the final assessment taking into account of Rs.1.00 rebate applicable for off-peak hours as per the corresponding tariff orders from the financial year 2016-17. The back-billing holds good subject to the revision of the final assessment amount as stated above. Till such time, the interim order shall continue.”

3. Respondent No.4 has filed a letter dt.10.07.2023 showing the revised calculations as per the directions of the Authority. The authorised representative of the petitioner has also submitted that he is satisfied with the calculations given by the respondents.

4. Heard the authorised representative of the petitioner.

5. The point for consideration is, whether there are sufficient grounds to close the petition?

POINT

6. As seen from the record, respondent No. 4 has filed a letter dt.10.07.2023 stating that they have revised the Final Assessment Order as per the directions of this Authority. The authorised representative of the petitioner

has also submitted that he is satisfied with the said calculations. In view of the compliance of the Award, this petition is liable to be closed.

RESULT


7. In the result, the petition is closed.

A copy of this Award is made available at <https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in>.

Typed to my dictation by Office Executive-cum-Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on this the 12th day of July 2023.

Sd/-

Vidyut Ombudsman

- 
1. M/s. Habib Air Compressor, represented by Sri. P. Ragupathy, Plot No.41, Sy No. 53, 55-60/P, IDA Kattedan, M.D.Pally, RR District. Cell: 9533318969 & 7036205211.
 2. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / M.D.Pally / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
 3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Gaganpahad / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
 4. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.
 5. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Rajendra Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.