



**BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA**

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Beside Hyderabad Boat Club  
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN  
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN**

WEDNESDAY THE NINTH DAY OF AUGUST  
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

**C.M.P. No. 16 of 2023-24**

in

**Appeal No. 22 of 2021-22**

Between

Sri K.Veerender Reddy, H.No.5-4-187/6, Karbala Maidan, M.G.Road,  
Secunderabad-500009.

**.....Petitioner / Appellant**

**AND**

- 1.The Assistant Engineer / Operation / Paradise/TSSPDCL/Secunderabad.
2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / James street / TSSPDCL / Secunderabad.
3. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO /Paradise/TSSPDCL/Secunderabad.
4. The Divisional Engineer/Operation/Paradise/TSSPDCL/Secunderabad.
5. The Superintending Engineer/Operation/Secunderabad Circle / TSSPDCL / Secunderabad.

**..... Respondents / Respondents**

This petition is coming on before me for final hearing today in the presence of Sri K.Siva Durga Prasad -ADE/OP/James street and Sri G.Shivarama Krishna - AE/OP/Paradise, representing the respondents and having stood over for consideration till today, this Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following:

**ORDER**

This petition is registered Suo-motu as per Clause 3.42 of Regulation

3 of 2015 of Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission for compliance of the Award passed in Appeal No. 22 of 2021-22 dt.06.01.2023 of this Authority, by the Licensee-respondents.

2. This Authority in the said Award has passed the following order:-

“In the result, the appeal is allowed, setting aside the Award passed by the learned Forum. The back billing case No. DPE/HYC/SD02/8927/21 demanding Rs.2,85,672/- is set aside. The 1/4th amount of Rs.71,443/- paid by the appellant shall be adjusted in future CC bills immediately. This Authority has no jurisdiction in respect of case No. DPE/HYC/SD02/9355/21 booked under Sec.126 of the Act demanding Rs.46,833/-”.

3. Respondents 2 to 4 have filed compliance reports.

4. Today the appellant (petitioner) is absent. Respondents 1 and 2 are present.

5. Heard the respondents.

6. The point for consideration is, whether there are sufficient grounds to close the petition?

**POINT**

7. The respondents have filed compliance reports. The reports show that the respondents have completed with the Award passed by this Authority. The appellant is absent today. He was absent even on an earlier occasion. Since the respondents have completed with the Award passed by this Authority, the petition is liable to be closed.

## RESULT

7. In the result, the petition is closed.

A copy of this Award is made available at <https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in>.

Typed to my dictation by Office Executive-cum-Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on this the 9th day of August 2023.

Sd/-

**Vidyut Ombudsman**

1. Sri K.Veerender Reddy, H.No.5-4-187/6, Karbala Maidan, M.G.Road, Secunderabad-500009.
2. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / Paradise/TSSPDCL/Secunderabad.
3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / James street / TSSPDCL / Secunderabad.
4. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO /Paradise/TSSPDCL/Secunderabad.
5. The Divisional Engineer/Operation/Paradise/TSSPDCL/Secunderabad.
6. The Superintending Engineer/Operation/Secunderabad Circle / TSSPDCL / Secunderabad.