



BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA

First Floor 33/11 kV Substation, Beside Hyderabad Boat Club
Lumbini Park, Hyderabad - 500 063

**PRESENT : SRI MOHAMMAD NIZAMUDDIN
VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN**

WEDNESDAY THE TWENTY SIXTH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND TWENTY THREE

C.M.P. No. 07 of 2023-24

in

Appeal No. 1 of 2021-22

Between

Sri M. Vijay Bhaskar Reddy, #17-1-382/BR/1/11, Manda Yadava Reddy Function
Hall, Champapet, Hyderabad - 79. Cell: 9246343979.

.....Petitioner / Appellant

AND

1. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / Champapet / TSSPDCL /
Ranga Reddy District.
2. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Champapet / TSSPDCL /
Ranga Reddy District..
3. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO / Champapet / TSSPDCL / Ranga
Reddy District.
4. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Champapet / TSSPDCL / Ranga Reddy
District.
5. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Saroor Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL /
Hyderabad.

..... Respondents / Respondents

This petition is coming on before me for final hearing today in the presence of Sri Vijay Bhaskar Reddy, appellant virtually in person and Sri E.Rajender - ADE/OP/Champapet, representing the respondents virtually and having stood over for consideration till today, this Vidyut Ombudsman passed the following:

ORDER

This petition is registered suo-motu as per Clause 3.42 of Regulation 3 of 2015 of Hon'ble Telangana State Electricity Regulatory Commission for compliance of the Award passed in Appeal No. 01 of 2021-22 dt.22.10.2022 of this Authority, by the Licensee-respondents.

2. This Authority in the said Award has passed the following order:-

“In the result, the appeal is allowed and the respondents are directed to revise the bills from H.T. tariff to L.T. tariff from March 2018 to September 2020 and adjust the amount in future bills of the appellant. The Award passed by the learned Forum is set aside”.

3. Heard both virtually.

4. The point for consideration is, whether there are sufficient grounds to close the petition?

POINT

5. As seen from the record, aggrieved by the impugned Award, the respondents have preferred W.P.No.18572 of 2023 before the Hon'ble High Court. At this stage it is necessary to refer Clause 2.37 of Regulation 3 of 2015 which is read as follows:-

“The Forum may reject the grievance at any stage under the following circumstances:

a) Where proceedings in respect of the same matter or issue between the same Complainant and the Licensee are pending before any court, tribunal, arbitrator or any other authority, or a decree or award or a final order has already been passed by

any such court, tribunal, arbitrator or authority as the case may be;”

xxxxx

In view of the Clause of the Regulation referred to above, I hold that there are no sufficient grounds to direct the respondents to implement the Award passed by this Authority in Appeal No. 01 of 2021-22 dt.22.10.2022 and the petition is liable to be closed.

RESULT

6. In the result, the petition is closed.

A copy of this Award is made available at <https://vidyutombudsman-tserc.gov.in>.

Typed to my dictation by Office Executive-cum-Computer Operator, corrected and pronounced by me on this the 26th day of July 2023.

Sd/-

Vidyut Ombudsman

1. Sri M. Vijay Bhaskar Reddy, #17-1-382/BR/1/11, Manda Yadava Reddy Function Hall, Champapet, Hyderabad - 79. Cell: 9246343979.
2. The Assistant Engineer / Operation / Champapet / TSSPDCL / Ranga Reddy District.
3. The Assistant Divisional Engineer / Operation / Champapet / TSSPDCL / Ranga Reddy District..
4. The Assistant Accounts Officer / ERO / Champapet / TSSPDCL / Ranga Reddy District.

5. The Divisional Engineer / Operation / Champapet /TSSPDCL / Ranga Reddy District.
6. The Superintending Engineer / Operation / Saroor Nagar Circle / TSSPDCL / Hyderabad.

