

BEFORE THE VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN

Present

K.Sanjeeva Rao Naidu
Vidyut Ombudsman

Dated: 28-04-2010

Appeal No. 18 of 2009

Between

Sri D.Gnana Sundaram
S/o.D.Moses
Narayanapuram (V), Damavaram (Post)
Dagadarthi (M), Nellore – 524 142.

... Appellant

And

The Asst. Engineer / Operation / Dagadarthi/ APSPDCL / Nellore Dist
The Asst. Divisional Engineer / Operation / Buchireddypalem/APSPDCL /Nellore Dt
The Divisional Electrical Engineer / Operation / Kavali/APSPDCL / Nellore Dt.
The Asst.Accounts Officer/ERO/ Buchireddypalem/APSPDCL /Nellore Dt

....Respondents

The appeal / representation dated 06.04.2009 received on 13.04.2009 of the appellant has come up for final hearing before the Vidyut Ombudsman on 23.04.2010 at Tirupathi. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. Sri B.Ranga Rao, AAE(C&O), Dagadarthi present for respondents and having stood over for consideration till this day, the Vidyut Ombudsman passed / issued the following :

AWARD

The appellant filed a complaint before the Forum and the Forum passed an order directing the respondents to withdraw the amount already levied from 5/07 to 17.02.2009 along with surcharge. The respondents also caused

inconvenience unnecessarily and intentionally by not giving supply and also imposed compensation of Rs.2000/- for the period from (09.01.2009 to 17.02.2009) (i.e.) 40 days @ 50/- per day and the said compensation shall be paid to the complainant by way of crediting the amount to complainant's account.

2. Aggrieved by the said order, the appellant preferred this appeal questioning the same, that he is entitled for compensation for the last 23 months, but the Forum ordered for 40 days. Hence he is entitled for the said compensation.

3. Even before filing the appeal, the petitioner received an amount of Rs.2000/- which was deposited into account and he issued a letter to the effect that the problem is resolved and the said letter was addressed to the Forum. A copy of the letter addressed to the Forum along with adjustment payment vouchers made by the respondent were also filed before this authority.

4. In the light of the above said letter, there is no need for this authority to pass any order either by confirming or disallowing the finding of the Forum. The petitioner has also failed to attend before this authority which also lends support to the said resolving of the dispute and the filing of the above said letter also in support of the plea urged by the respondents.

5. In the result, the appeal is dismissed.

This order is corrected and signed on this day of 28th April 2010

VIDYUT OMBUDSMAN